RECEIVED DAVID J. MEYER VICE PRESIDENT, GENERAL COUNSEL, REGULATORY & 2000 APR -3 PM 1:10 AVISTA CORPORATION UTILITIES COMMISSION P.O. BOX 3727 1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727 TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316 FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) CASE NO. AVU-E-08-01 OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE ) CASE NO. AVU-G-08-01 AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE STATE OF IDAHO

) DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TARA L. KNOX

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS)

2 Q. Please state your name, business address and 3 present position with Avista Corporation?

Τ.

1

INTRODUCTION

A. My name is Tara L. Knox and my business address is 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington. I am employed as a Senior Rate Analyst in the State and Federal Regulation Department.

8 Q. Would you briefly describe your duties?

9 A. I am responsible for preparing the regulatory 10 cost of service models for the Company, as well as 11 providing support for the preparation of results of 12 operations reports.

Q. Would you describe your educational background
 and professional experience?

I am a 1982 graduate of Washington State Α. Yes. 15 University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in General 16 Humanities, and a Master of Accounting degree in 1990. As 17 an employee in the Rate Department at Avista since 1991, I 18 have attended several ratemaking classes, including the EEI 19 Electric Rates Advanced Course that specializes in cost 20 allocation and cost of service issues. I have also been a 21 member of the Cost of Service Working Group since 1999, 22 technical made up of discussion group 23 which is а professionals from utilities throughout the United States 24 and Canada concerned with cost of service issues. 25

Q. What is the scope of your testimony in these
 proceedings?

exhibits will cover the testimony and 3 Α. Μv Company's electric and natural gas cost of service studies 4 Additionally, Ι am 5 performed for this proceeding. and natural gas revenue electric 6 sponsoring the normalization adjustments and the production property 7 adjustment to the test year results of operations. 8

#### 9 Table of Contents

10	Revenue Normalization	Page 3
11	Electric Revenue Normalization	Page 3
12	Natural Gas Revenue Normalization	Page 8
13	Production Property Adjustment	Page 11
14	Electric Cost of Service	Page 15
15	Natural Gas Cost of Service	Page 22

# Q. Are you sponsoring any Exhibits with your pre filed testimony?

I am sponsoring Exhibit No. 14 composed of 18 Α. Yes. five schedules as follows: Schedule 1, production property 19 adjustment calculation; Schedule 2, electric cost of 20 service study process description; Schedule 3, electric 21 cost of service study summary results; Schedule 4, natural 22 gas cost of service study process description; and Schedule 23 5, natural gas cost of service summary results. 24

# 25 Q. Were these exhibits prepared by you or under your 26 direction?

27 A. Yes.

Knox, Di 2 Avista Corporation

1	II. REVENUE NORMALIZATION
2	Electric Revenue Normalization
3	Q. Would you please describe the electric revenue
4	adjustment included in Company witness Ms. Andrews pro
5	forma results of operations?
6	A. Yes. The electric revenue normalization
7	adjustment represents the difference between the Company's
8	actual recorded retail revenues during the 2007 test period
9	and retail revenues on a normalized (pro forma) basis. The
10	total revenue normalization adjustment decreases Idaho net
11	operating income by \$632,000 as shown in column (u) on page
12	6 of Ms. Andrews Exhibit No.13, Schedule 1. The revenue
13	normalization adjustment consists of three primary
14	components: 1) re-pricing customer usage (adjusted for any
15	known and measurable changes) at present base tariff rates

16 in effect, 2) adjusting customer loads and revenue to a 17 calendar-year basis (unbilled revenue adjustment), and 3) 18 weather normalizing customer usage and revenue.

19 Q. Would you please briefly discuss electric weather 20 normalization?

A. Yes. The Company's weather normalization adjustment calculates the change in kWh usage required to adjust actual loads during the 2007 test period to the amount expected if weather had been normal. This adjustment incorporates the effect of both heating and

> Knox, Di 3 Avista Corporation

cooling on weather-sensitive customer groups. The weather 1 adjustment is developed from regression analysis of five or 2 ten years (as explained later) of billed usage per customer 3 and billing period heating and cooling degree-day data. 4 The resulting seasonal weather sensitivity factors are 5 applied to monthly test period customers and the difference 6 between normal heating/cooling degree-days and monthly test 7 period observed heating/cooling degree-days. 8

In addition to its use as a component of the revenue 9 Mr. Kalich witness normalization adjustment, Company 10 includes the combined Washington and Idaho adjustment with 11 2007 loads to reflect the normal load shape for 2009 pro 12 forma loads in the modeling for the Pro Forma Power Supply 13 14 costs.

# 15 Q. How are normal heating and cooling degree days 16 defined?

Normal heating and cooling degree days are based 17 Α. on a rolling 25-year average of heating and cooling degree-18 days reported for each month by the National Weather 19 Service for the Spokane Airport weather station. For 20 heating, the 25 years are included on a heating season 21 basis, July through June, so (for example) the October 22 average reflects all the Octobers beginning in 1982 and 23 through 2006 whereas the May average reflects all of the 24 Mays beginning in 1983 and through 2007. For cooling, the 25

1 25 years reflect the cooling season calendar years 2 beginning in 1983 and through 2007. Each year the normal 3 values will be adjusted to capture the next heating and 4 cooling season with the oldest data dropping off, thereby 5 encapsulating the most recent information available at the 6 end of each calendar year.

Q. What revisions have you made to the weather
adjustment methodology since the company's last general
rate case in Idaho?

In prior cases, annual average sensitivity factors 10 Α. were derived and applied uniformly to all heating and 11 cooling degree days throughout the year. In this new 12 process the definition of the independent variables has 13 been adjusted to produce seasonal sensitivity factors. 14 Seasonal sensitivity factors change depending on the time 15 of year, therefore it is important to determine when the 16 deviations from heating and cooling degree days occurred, 17 which is why we now use a monthly calculation to determine 18 This modification addressed adjustment volumes. 19 the concerns that applying the annual factors on a monthly 20 some counter-intuitive results during 21 basis produced well as concerns as shoulder months, and summer 22 (particularly for natural gas) that the baseload value 23 . should approximate observed summer usage. 24

Also, we re-examined the question of whether five 1 years of data included enough data points. Based on trend 2 variables testing for systematic changes over time, we were 3 comfortable with the use of ten year data sets for electric 4 residential customers and all weather-sensitive natural gas 5 customer groups in Idaho (as well as all electric and 6 weather-sensitive in customer groups 7 natural qas Washington). However, in response to visual inspection of 8 graphed residuals (error values) over time, a marked change 9 Idaho electric general service appeared to occur 10 in customer groups about halfway through the ten year period. 11 Consequently, the Idaho residential customer group utilizes 12 ten year regression analysis whereas the weather-13 a sensitive general service customer groups utilize a five 14 vear regression analysis. 15

Finally, in the methodology utilized in prior cases, 16 two statistical tests were used to determine whether a 17 regression result was acceptable. Namely, the t-statistic 18 for all independent variables must be greater than the 19 absolute value of two, and the adjusted R-square statistic 20 must be greater than sixty percent. For the new method we 21 have added a third test to satisfy concerns that auto-22 correlation of error terms may have been present in the 23 Now in addition to the first two tests, the 24 data.

regression result must also pass the Durbin-Watson test for
 auto-correlation at five percent significance.

Q. How has the definition of normal heating and
cooling degree days changed?

A. In prior cases the Company has used NOAA (National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration) published Monthly Station Normals for the Spokane airport weather station which represents a 30-year average. As mentioned above, in this case the Company is proposing a 25-year average instead.

Q. Why are you proposing to change from a 30-year to
a 25-year average for normal degree days?

The NOAA normal publication utilizes the same 13 Α. National Weather Service data to develop their 30-year 14 average or "normal", but it is only updated every ten 15 years, so those statistics now reflect 1971 to 2000 data, 16 which does not include the most current weather. During 17 the years since the last NOAA publication, the Inland 18 Northwest has experienced consistently warmer weather. 19 Therefore, use of the outdated 30-year average may tend to 20 overstate expected heating requirements and understate 21 expected cooling requirements. Moving to a shorter average 22 period, and maintaining the rolling average to keep current 23 with the weather that has been experienced in Avista's 24

service territory, helps to overcome the limitations of the
 published "normal" data.

Q. What was the impact of electric weather
4 normalization on the 2007 test year?

Weather was warmer than normal during the 2007 5 Α. test year, especially during the month of July, resulting 6 in a net reduction to usage. The adjustment to normal 7 required the addition of 77 heating degree-days and the 8 deduction of 139 cooling degree-days. The net adjustment 9 to Idaho sales volumes was a reduction of 14,411,360 kWhs 10 which is slightly less than one-half of one percent of 11 billed usage. 12

13

## Natural Gas Revenue Normalization

Q. Would you please describe the natural gas revenue adjustment included in Ms. Andrews pro forma results of operations?

The natural gas revenue normalization 17 Α. Yes. similar to the electric adjustment and 18 adjustment is represents the difference between the Company's actual 19 recorded retail revenues during the 2007 test period and 20 retail revenues on a normalized (pro forma) basis. The 21 adjustment includes the re-pricing of pro forma sales and 22 transportation volumes at present rates using pro forma 23 sales volumes that have been adjusted for unbilled sales, 24 abnormal weather, and any material customer load or 25

schedule changes. The rates used exclude: 1) Temporary
 Gas Rate Adjustment Schedule 155, which reflects the
 approved amortization rate for deferred gas costs approved
 in the Company's last PGA filing and 2) Public Purposes
 Rider Adjustment Schedule 191.

6 Q. Does the Revenue Normalization Adjustment contain 7 a component reflecting normalized gas costs?

8 A. Yes. Purchase gas costs are normalized using the 9 gas costs approved by the Commission in Case No. AVU-G-07-10 02, the Company's 2007 PGA filing, as set forth under 11 Schedule 150. Those gas costs are then applied to the pro 12 forma retail sales volumes so that there is a matching of 13 revenues and gas costs.

The total net amount of the natural gas revenue normalization, which includes the purchase gas cost adjustment, is a decrease to net operating income of \$42,000, as shown in column (i), page 5 of Ms. Andrews Exhibit No.13, Schedule 2.

Q. Would you please briefly discuss natural gas
 weather normalization?

21 A. Yes. The natural gas weather adjustment is 22 developed from a regression analysis of ten years of billed 23 usage per customer and billing period heating degree-day 24 data. The resulting seasonal weather sensitivity factors 25 are applied to monthly test period customers and the

> Knox, Di 9 Avista Corporation

difference between normal heating degree-days and monthly test period observed heating degree-days. This calculation produces the change in therm usage required to adjust existing loads to the amount expected if weather had been normal.

6 Q. How are normal heating and cooling degree days 7 defined?

Normal heating degree-days are based on a rolling 8 Α. 9 25-year average of heating degree-days reported for each month by the National Weather Service for the Spokane 10 The 25 years are included on a 11 Airport weather station. heating season basis, July through June, so (for example) 12 13 the October average reflects all the Octobers beginning in 1982 and through 2006 whereas the May average reflects all 14 15 of the Mays beginning in 1983 and through 2007. Each year the normal values will be adjusted to capture the next 16 17 heating season with the oldest data dropping off, thereby encapsulating the most recent information available at the 18 19 end of each calendar year.

20 Q. Does this proposed weather adjustment methodology 21 reflect the same revisions that were discussed regarding 22 electric service?

A. Yes, both the revisions to the process for determining the weather sensitivity factors and the change to the definition of "normal" are reflected in the

> Knox, Di 10 Avista Corporation

Company's weather normalization adjustment to natural gas
 usage.

Q. What was the impact of natural gas weather
4 normalization on the 2007 test year?

Weather was warmer than normal during the 2007 5 Α. test year. A colder than normal January was offset by 6 warmer than normal February, March, and December resulting 7 in a relatively small annual weather adjustment. The 8 adjustment to normal required the addition of 77 heating 9 The adjustment to sales volumes was an 10 degree-days. addition of 331,196 therms which is less than one-third of 11 12 one percent of billed usage.

13

### III. PRODUCTION PROPERTY ADJUSTMENT

14 Q. What is the purpose of a Production Property 15 Adjustment?

a Production Property purpose of using 16 A. The Adjustment is to avoid an over-collection of fixed and 17 variable production costs resulting from an increase in 18 retail load from the historical test period to the pro 19 In this general rate case Avista is forma rate period. 20 using a 2007 historical test period, and a 2009 pro forma 21 The illustration below shows, for Avista's 22 rate vear. present case: 1) the 2007 historical test year, 2) the date 23 of the current rate case filing, and 3) the pro forma rate 24

year (calendar year 2009) in which new rates, if approved,
 will be in place.



In a rate case, the revenue requirement is spread to 8 historical test year loads to establish new retail rates, 9 which for Avista's present rate case is 2007 retail loads. 10 When a rate case is developed to include the fixed and 11 variable power supply costs during the 2009 pro forma rate 12 year to serve 2009 rate year loads, we need to ensure that 13 those fixed and variable costs are not over-collected as 14 the load grows from the 2007 test year to the 2009 pro 15 forma rate year. The Production Property Adjustment serves 16 The use of a Production Property Adjustment 17 this purpose. was approved by the Washington Utilities and Transportation 18 Commission in the Company's recently-concluded 2007 rate 19 20 case.

21 Q. Why is Avista proposing a Production Property 22 Adjustment in this case?

23 A. We believe a Production Property Adjustment, in 24 conjunction with pro forma rate year loads for power 25 supply, results in a better matching of revenues and

> Knox, Di 12 Avista Corporation

expenses during the period that new retail rates from this 1 The use of 2009 pro forma rate case will be in effect. 2 loads will result in pro forma revenues and expenses in 3 this filing that are much closer to what is expected to 4 occur during the 2009 rate year, and the Production 5 Property Adjustment will ensure that the Company does not 6 over-collect its fixed and variable production costs. The 7 Retail Revenue Credit (incremental load) adjustments in the 8 PCA would be relatively small, since any true-ups would be 9 based on a comparison of actual load for 2009 versus the 10 2009 pro forma load included in base rates. 11

We have also applied the same theory to transmission 12 in the development of the fixed and variable costs 13 new loads grow, Production Property Adjustment. As 14 customers (new retail KWH sales) will contribute toward the 15 recovery of these transmission costs, and we have applied 16 the same adjustment to transmission costs. Therefore, the 17 proposed Production Property Adjustment ensures that both 18 production costs and transmission costs are not over-19 collected during the year that rates go into effect. 20

Q. How is the Production Property Adjustment applied? A. The production and transmission costs, both fixed and variable, that are included in the proposed retail rates in this case are factored down by the ratio of the Idaho 2007 test period loads and the Idaho 2009 pro forma

The retail load associated with the rate year loads. 1 directly assigned purchase of Potlatch generation (which is 2 tracked through the PCA at 100%) has been excluded from 3 both 2007 and 2009 in order to match the proposed 4 authorized retail load used to determine incremental load 5 adjustments in the PCA. This ratio is then applied to the 6 Production and Transmission operating and maintenance 7 expenses, including depreciation and amortization expense, 8 as well as net Production and Transmission rate base. 9

10 Company witness Mr. Kalich included the 2009 pro forma 11 rate year loads in the AURORA model so that the costs 12 associated with serving the loads are reflected in this 13 case, and he provides further explanation of these loads in 14 his testimony.

15 Q. Do you have an exhibit that shows the calculation 16 of the production property adjustment?

Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 1 begins with the Yes. 17 Α. identification of the production and transmission revenue, 18 expense and rate base amounts included in each of Ms. 19 Andrews actual, restating, and pro forma adjustments to 20 2007 results of operations (not including the production 21 property adjustment). The values on line 39, labeled Pro 22 Forma Total, reflect production and transmission revenues, 23 expenses, and rate base necessary to serve 2009 retail 24 labeled 2007 43, values on line The 25 loads.

Production/Transmission Costs, are the amounts on line 39 multiplied by the production factor (calculated on line 42) in order to reflect the proportion of those costs required to be recovered by 2007 retail loads. The difference between the 2007 and 2009 values (shown on line 44), is the production property adjustment Ms. Andrews included in her calculation of revenue requirement in this case.

Q. What is shown on page 2 of Exhibit 14, Schedule 1? 8 A. Page 2 of Exhibit No.14, Schedule 1 shows the 9 calculation of the proposed revenue requirement associated 10 with production and transmission costs in this case. The 11 rate of return and debt cost percentages on line 2 are 12 inputs from the proposed cost of capital. The rate base 13 and net expense values are the same costs calculated on 14 page 1 to determine the production property adjustment. 15 The value of the Potlatch Generation purchase has been 16 excluded from net expense consistent with the exclusion of 17 Line 10 shows the the related load for PCA purposes. 18 average Production and Transmission cost per kWh proposed 19 to be embedded in customer rates. 20

21

# IV. ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE

22 Q. Please briefly summarize your testimony related 23 to the electric cost of service study.

24 A. I believe the Base Case cost of service study 25 presented in this case is a fair representation of the

costs to serve each customer group. The Base Case study 1 shows Residential Service Schedule 1, Extra Large General 2 Service Schedule 25 and 25P, and Street and Area Lighting 3 provide less than the overall rate of return under present 4 General Service Schedule 11, Large General Service 5 rates. Schedule 21 and Pumping Service Schedule 31 provide more 6 than the overall rate of return under present rates but 7 8 less than the requested return.

9 Q. What is an electric cost of service study and 10 what is its purpose?

service studv is an of electric cost 11 Α. An engineering-economic study, which separates the revenue, 12 expenses, and rate base associated with providing electric 13 The groups are service to designated groups of customers. 14 made up of customers with similar load characteristics and 15 facilities requirements. Costs are assigned in relation to 16 each group's characteristics, resulting in an evaluation of 17 the cost of the service provided to each group. The rate 18 of return by customer group indicates whether the revenue 19 provided by the customers in each group recovers the cost 20 The study results are used as a to serve those customers. 21 guide in determining the appropriate rate spread among the 22 groups of customers. Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 2 explains 23 the basic concepts involved in performing an electric cost 24 of service study. It also details the specific methodology 25

and assumptions utilized in the Company's Base Case cost of
 service study.

Q. What is the basis for the electric cost of
service study provided in this case?

A. The electric cost of service study provided by the Company as Exhibit No.14, Schedule 2 is based on the 2007 test year pro forma results of operations presented by Company witness Ms. Andrews in Exhibit No.13, Schedule 1.

9 Q. Would you please explain the cost of service 10 study presented in Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 3?

Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 3 is composed of a 11 Yes. Α. series of summaries of the cost of service study results. 12 The summary on page 1 shows the results of the study by 13 FERC account category. The rate of return by rate schedule 14 and the ratio of each schedule's return to the overall 15 return are shown on Lines 39 and 40. This summary was 16 provided to Mr. Hirschkorn for his work on rate spread and 17 rate design. The results will be discussed in more detail 18 later in my testimony. 19

20 Pages 2 and 3 are both summaries that show the revenue 21 to cost relationship at current and proposed revenue. 22 Costs by category are shown first at the existing schedule 23 returns (revenue); next the costs are shown as if all 24 schedules were providing equal recovery (cost). These 25 comparisons show how far current and proposed rates are,

> Knox, Di 17 Avista Corporation

from rates that would be in alignment with the cost study. 1 into production, costs segregated 2 2 shows the Page functional and common 3 transmission, distribution, Page 3 segregates the costs into demand, 4 categories. energy, and customer classifications. 5

6 The Excel model used to calculate the cost of service 7 and supporting schedules have been included in their 8 entirety both electronically and hard copy in the 9 workpapers accompanying this case.

10 Q. Does the Company's electric Base Case cost of 11 service study follow the methodology accepted in the 12 Company's last electric general rate case in Idaho?

A. Yes. The Base Case cost of service study was
prepared using the methodology accepted by the Idaho
commission in Case No. AVU-E-04-01.

Q. Given that the specific details of this methodology are described in Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 2, would you please give a brief overview of the key elements and the history associated with those elements?

Production and transmission costs are classified 20 Α. to energy and demand by a peak credit analysis. Avista has 21 been using the peak credit classification process for cost 22 Idaho Washington and studies in both 23 of service jurisdictions since the 1980's. Distribution costs are 24

classified and allocated by the basic customer theory<sup>1</sup> 1 accepted by the Idaho commission in Case No. WWP-E-98-11. 2 Additional direct assignment of demand related distribution 3 improvements reflect incorporated to 4 plant has been accepted by the commission in Case No. AVU-E-04-01. 5 directly Administrative and general costs are first 6 assigned to production, transmission, distribution, or 7 customer relations functions. The remaining administrative 8 and general costs are categorized as common costs and have 9 been assigned to customer classes by the four-factor 10 allocator accepted by the Idaho commission in Case No. AVU-11 E-04-01. 12

Q. What are the results of the Company's Base Case
 cost of service study?

A. The following table shows the rate of return and the relationship of the customer class return to the overall return (relative return ratio) at <u>present rates</u> for each rate schedule:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Basic customer theory classifies only meters, services and street lights as customer-related plant; all other distribution facilities are considered demand-related.

1 Table 1

Customer Class	Rate of Return	<u>Return Ratio</u>
Residential Service Schedule 1	4.35%	0.87
General Service Schedule 11	7.49%	1.51
Large General Service Schedule 21	6.02%	1.21
Extra Large General Service Schedule 25	2.88%	0.58
Ex. Lg. Gen. Service Potlatch Schedule 25P	3.71%	0.75
Pumping Service Schedule 31	6.71%	1.35
Lighting Service Schedules 41 - 49	4.48%	<u>0.90</u>
Total Idaho Electric System	<u>4,978</u>	<u>1.00</u>

As can be observed from the above table, residential, 2 extra large general service, and lighting service schedules 3 (1, 25, 25P, and 41-49) show under-recovery of the costs to 4 serve them, while the general, large general, and pumping 5 service schedules (11, 21, and 31) show over-recovery of 6 the costs to serve them. However, all customer groups are 7 currently providing a rate of return lower than the rate of 8 return requested in this case. The summary results of this 9 study were provided to Mr. Hirschkorn as an input into 10 development of the proposed rates. 11

12 Q Does the Company have recent load research study 13 information to use in the determination of demand-related 14 allocations?

A. No. The load shape estimates included the calculation of the demand allocation factors for this cost of service study were derived from load research performed in the early 1980's and statistically updated in 1993. The estimation process used to develop the demand allocation 1 factors for most customer groups (rate schedules) utilizes 2 current billing system statistics and predicted daily 3 volumes from the current weather sensitivity analysis in 4 conjunction with load shape relationships produced by the 5 prior load research data. The extra large general service 6 schedules are not estimated, as current actual hourly 7 demand data is available for them.

8 Q How does the load shape information affect the 9 cost of service study results?

10 A. Slightly more than one-third of the costs in 11 this study are demand-related and therefore affected by the 12 coincident peak or non-coincident peak allocation factors. 13 Even though I believe the study as a whole provides a 14 reasonable representation of the cost of service, the 15 results should not be used with a high level of precision.

In addition, because of the absence of a recent demand 16 study, reliable data was not available to conduct adequate 17 to demand-metered Schedule 11 customers 18 analvsis of evaluate the reasonableness of segregating them into a 19 separate schedule, as briefly addressed in Mr. Hirschkorn's 20 21 testimony.

Q. Is the Company conducting a new demand study?
A. Yes. Currently the Company is in the process of
developing an hourly load research study. Under the
current timeline, load research meters will be installed on

a statistical sample of customers from each of the customer 1 groups later this year in order to collect a full year of 2 3 hourly data.

4

# V. NATURAL GAS COST OF SERVICE

Please describe the natural gas cost of service ο. 5 6 study and its purpose.

A natural gas cost of service study is an 7 Α. engineering-economic study which separates the revenue, 8 expenses, and rate base associated with providing natural 9 gas service to designated groups of customers. The groups 10 are made up of customers with similar usage characteristics 11 and facility requirements. Costs are assigned in relation 12 to each groups' characteristics, resulting in an evaluation 13 of the cost of the service provided to each group. The 14 rate of return by customer group indicates whether the 15 revenue provided by the customers in each group recovers 16 the cost to serve those customers. The study results are 17 used as a guide in determining the appropriate rate spread 18 among the groups of customers. Exhibit No.14, Schedule 4 19 explains the basic concepts involved in performing a 20 natural gas cost of service study. It also details the 21 specific methodology and assumptions utilized in the 22 Company's Base Case cost of service study. 23

What is the basis for the natural gas cost of 24 Q. service study provided in this case? 25

A. The cost of service study provided by the Company as Exhibit No.14, Schedule 5 is based on the 2007 test year pro forma results of operations presented by Ms. Andrews in Exhibit No.13, Schedule 2.

5 Q. Would you please explain the cost of service 6 study presented in Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 5?

Yes. Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 5 is composed of a 7 Α. series of summaries of the cost of service study results. 8 Page 1 shows the results of the study by FERC account 9 The rate of return and the ratio of each 10 category. schedule's return to the overall return are shown on lines 11 This summary is provided to Mr. Hirschkorn for 38 and 39. 12 his work on rate spread and rate design. The results will 13 be discussed in more detail later in my testimony. The 14 additional summaries show the costs organized by functional 15 category (page 2) and classification (page 3), including 16 margin and unit cost analysis at current and proposed 17 18 rates.

19 The Excel model used to calculate the cost of service 20 and supporting schedules have been included in their 21 entirety both electronically and hard copy in the 22 workpapers accompanying this case.

Q. Does the Natural Gas Base Case cost of service study utilize the methodology from the Company's last natural gas case in Idaho? A. Yes. The Base Case cost of service study was
 prepared using the methodology accepted by the Idaho
 commission in Case No. AVU-G-04-01.

Q. What are the key elements that define the cost of
service methodology?

Purchased gas costs are derived from the current 6 Α. Underground storage 7 purchased gas tracker methodology. are allocated by normalized winter throughput. 8 costs Natural gas main investment has been segregated into large 9 Large usage customers that take service and small mains. 10 from large mains do not receive an allocation of small 11 Meter installation and services investment is 12 mains. allocated by number of customers weighted by the relative 13 current cost of those items. System facilities that serve 14 all customers are classified by the peak and average ratio 15 that reflects the system load factor, then allocated by 16 respectively. throughput, demand and 17 coincident peak Demand side management costs are treated in the same way as 18 system facilities. General plant is allocated by the sum 19 of all other plant. Administrative & general expenses are 20 related, plant related, revenue segregated into labor 21 The costs are then allocated by 22 related, and "other". associated with labor, plant in service, or 23 factors The "other" A&G amounts get a revenue, respectively. 24 combined allocation that is one-half based on O&M expenses 25

and one-half based on throughput. A detailed description
 of the methodology is included in Exhibit No.14, Schedule
 4.

Q. What are the results of the Company's natural gas
cost of service study?

I believe the Base Case cost of service study 6 Α. presented in this filing is a fair representation of the 7 costs to serve each customer group. The study indicates 8 that Large Firm and Interruptible Service schedules (121 9 and 131) are providing less than the overall return 10 (unity), while Transportation Service Schedule 146 is 11 providing more than unity. Small Firm is also above unity, 12 but below the requested return, and Residential Service is 13 14 only slightly below unity.

15 The following table shows the rate of return and the 16 relative return ratio at <u>present</u> <u>rates</u> for each rate 17 schedule:

18 **Table 2** 

Customer Class	<u>Rate of</u>	<u>Return Ratio</u>
	Return	
Residential Service Schedule 101	4.93%	0.95
Small Firm Service Schedule 111	7.14%	1.37
Large Firm Service Schedule 121	2.40%	0.46
Interruptible Service Schedule 131	3.21%	0.62
Transportation Service Schedule 146	11.22%	<u>2.15</u>
Total Idaho Natural Gas System	<u>5.218</u>	<u>1.00</u>

1 The summary results of this study were provided to Mr. 2 Hirschkorn as an input into development of the proposed 3 rates.

4 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct 5 testimony?

6 A. Yes.

RECEIVED 2008 APR - 3 PH 1: 11 VICE PRESIDENT, GENERAL COUNSEL, REGULATORY & UTIL DAMO PUBLIC GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS 1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727 TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316 FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851

## BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) CASE NO. AVU-E-08-01 OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE ) CASE NO. AVU-G-08-01 AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE ) STATE OF IDAHO

EXHIBIT NO. 14

TARA L. KNOX

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC AND GAS)

RECEIVED

2008 APR - 3 PM 1: 10

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

# CONFIDENTIAL

Avista Utilities Production Property Adjustment Calculation Idaho Electric Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

# THIS PAGE ALLEGEDLY CONTAINS TRADE SECRETS OR CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS AND IS SEPARATELY FILED.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 1, p.1of 2 Proposed Production and Transmission Revenue Requirement Calculation of Retail Revenue Credit Rate at Proposed Return

			2007	2009	Debt Cost
1	Prod/Trans	Pro Forma Rate Base	\$298,570	\$313,996	
2		Proposed Rate of Return	8.740%	8.740%	3.56%
3	Rate Base	Net Operating Income Requirement	\$26,095	\$27,443	
4	Tax Effect	Net Operating Income Requirement (Rate Base x Debt Cost x -35%)	(\$3,720)	(\$3,912)	
5	Net Expense	Net Operating Income Requirement (Expense - Revenue)	\$95,600	100,539	
6	Tax Effect	Net Operating Income Requirement (Net Expense x35%)	(\$33,460)	(\$35,189)	
7	Total Prod/Trans	Net Operating Income Requirement	\$84,515	\$88,881	
8	1 - Tax Rate	Conversion Factor (Excl. Rev. Rel. Exp.	0.65	0.65	
9	Prod/Trans	Revenue Requirement	\$130,023	\$136,740	\$6,718
10	Prod/Trans Rev Requin	ement per kWh	\$ 0.04383 \$	0.04383	6,718
11	Potlatch Generation Pu Excluded from Net Exp	rchase of \$19,861 Passed through PCA pense on Line 5	A at 100% 18,885	19,861	976

- - - -

- - - -

.

# **ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE**

A cost of service study is an engineering-economic study, which apportions the revenue, expenses, and rate base associated with providing electric service to designated groups of customers. It indicates whether the revenue provided by the customers recovers the cost to serve those customers. The study results are used as a guide in determining the appropriate rate spread among the groups of customers.

There are three basic steps involved in a cost of service study: functionalization, classification, and allocation. See flow chart.

First, the expenses and rate base associated with the electric system under study are assigned to functional categories. The uniform system of accounts provides the basic segregation into production, transmission, and distribution. Traditionally customer accounting, customer information, and sales expenses are included in the distribution function and administrative and general expenses and general plant rate base are allocated to all functions. In this study I have created a separate functional category for common costs. Administrative and general costs that cannot be directly assigned to the other functions have been placed in this category.

Second, the expenses and rate base items that cannot be directly assigned to customer groups are classified into three primary cost components: energy, demand or customer related. Energy related costs are allocated based on each rate schedule's share of commodity consumption. Demand (capacity) related costs are allocated to rate schedules on the basis of each schedule's contribution to peak demand. Customer related items are allocated to rate schedules based on the number of customers within each schedule. The number of customers may be weighted by appropriate factors such as relative cost of metering equipment. In addition to these three cost components, any revenue related expense is allocated based on the proportion of revenues by rate schedule.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 1 of 9

# ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE STUDY FLOWCHART



# Pro Forma Results of Operations by Customer Group

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 2 of 9 The final step is allocation of the costs to the various rate schedules utilizing the allocation factors selected for each specific cost item. These factors are derived from usage and customer information associated with the test period results of operations.

#### **BASE CASE COST OF SERVICE STUDY**

#### Production and Transmission Classification (Peak Credit)

This study utilizes a Peak Credit methodology to classify production and transmission costs into demand and energy classifications. The Peak Credit method acknowledges that baseload production facilities provide energy throughout the year as well as capacity during system peaks and likewise the transmission system is built not only for peak use, but also for everyday delivery of energy. The demand/energy ratio is determined by the relationship of the current replacement cost per kW generating capacity of the Company's peaking units to the current replacement cost per kW generating capacity of the Company's thermal or hydro plant. The peak credit ratio for thermal plant is 33.57% to demand and 66.43% to energy. The peak credit ratio for hydro plant is 26.82% to demand and 73.18% to energy. As an intermediate resource (between peaking and baseload), Coyote Springs II has been included with the thermal plant costs, whereas all other plants in the 340 to 349 FERC plant accounts are considered peaking units.

Transmission costs are classified by fifty-fifty weighting of the thermal and hydro peak credit ratios resulting in the transmission peak credit ratio of 30.19% to demand and 69.81% to energy. Fuel and load dispatching expenses are classified entirely to energy. Peaking plant related costs are classified entirely to demand. Purchased Power and Other Power Supply expenses are classified to demand and energy by the relative amounts of assigned and allocated Production Plant in Service.

> Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 3 of 9

### Production and Transmission Allocation

Production and transmission demand related costs are allocated to the customer classes by class contribution to the average of the twelve monthly system coincident peak loads. Although the Company is usually technically a winter peaking utility, it experiences high summer peaks and careful management of capacity requirements is required throughout the year. The use of the average of twelve monthly peaks recognizes that customer capacity needs are not limited to the heating season.

Energy related costs are allocated to class by pro forma annual kilowatthour sales adjusted for losses to reflect generation level consumption.

# **Distribution Facilities Classification (Basic Customer)**

The Basic Customer method considers only services and meters and directly assigned Street Lighting apparatus (FERC Accounts 369, 370, and 373 respectively) to be customer related distribution plant. All other distribution plant is then considered demand related. This division delineates plant which benefits an individual customer from plant which is part of the system. The basic customer method provides a reasonable, clearly definable division between plant that provides service only to individual customers from plant that is part of the interconnected distribution network.

# **Customer Relations Distribution Cost Classification**

Customer service, customer information and sales expenses are the core of the customer relations functional unit which is included with the distribution cost category. For the most part they are classified as customer related. Exceptions are sales expenses which are classified as energy related and uncollectible accounts expense which is considered separately as a revenue conversion item. Demand Side Management expenses recorded in Account 908 are also considered separately from the other customer information costs.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 4 of 9 The demand side management investment and amortization are classified implicitly to demand and energy by the sum of production plant in service, then allocated to rate schedules by coincident peak demand and energy consumption respectively.

#### **Distribution Cost Allocation**

Distribution demand related costs which cannot be directly assigned are allocated to customer class by the average of the twelve monthly non-coincident peaks for each class. Distribution facilities that serve only secondary voltage customers are allocated by the non-coincident peak excluding primary voltage customers or number of customers excluding primary voltage customers. This includes line transformers, services, and secondary voltage overhead or underground conductors and devices. The costs of specific substations and related primary voltage distribution facilities are directly assigned to Extra Large General Service customers based on their load ratio share of the substation capacity from which they receive service.

Most customer costs are allocated by average number of customers. Weighted customer allocators have been developed using typical current cost of meters, estimated meter reading time, and direct assignment of billing costs for hand-billed customers. Street and area light customers are excluded from metering and meter reading expenses as their service is not metered.

#### **Administrative and General Costs**

Administrative and general costs which are directly associated with production, transmission, distribution, or customer relations functions are directly assigned to those functions and allocated to customer class by the relevant plant or number of customers. The remainder of administrative and general costs are considered common costs, and have been left in their own functional category. These common costs are classified by the implicit relationship of energy, demand and customer within the four-factor allocator applied to them. The four-factor allocator consists of a 25% weighting of each of the following: 1) operating & maintenance expenses

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 5 of 9 excluding resource costs, labor expenses, and administrative and general expenses; 2) operating and maintenance labor expenses excluding administrative and general labor expenses; 3) net production, transmission, and distribution plant; and 4) number of customers.

#### **Revenue Conversion Items**

In this study uncollectible accounts and commission fees have been classified as revenue related and are allocated by pro forma revenue. These items vary with revenue and are included in the calculation of the revenue conversion factor. Income tax expense items are allocated to schedules by net income before income tax adjusted by interest expense.

For the functional summaries on pages 2 and 3 of the cost of service study, these items are assigned to component cost categories. The revenue related expense items have been reduced to a percent of all other costs and loaded onto each cost category by that ratio. Similarly, income tax items have been reduced to a percent of net income before tax then assigned to cost categories by relative rate base (as is net income).

The following matrix outlines the methodology applied in the Company Base Case cost of service study.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 6 of 9

IPUC Case No. AVU-E-08-01 Methodology Matrix Avista Utilities Idaho Jurisdiction Electric Cost of Service Methodology				
Account	Functional Category	Classification	Allocation	1
Production Plant Thermal Production Hydro Production Other Production (Coyote Springs) Other Production	P = Production P = Production P = Production P = Production	Demand/Energy by Thermal Peak Credit Demand/Energy by Hydro Peak Credit Demand/Energy by Thermal Peak Credit Demand	D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01 Coincident Peak Demand	
Transmission Plant All Transmission	T = Transmission	Demand/Energy by Trans Peak Credit	D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	
Distribution Plant 360 Land 361 Structures 362 Station Equipment 364 Poles Towers & Fixtures 365 Underground Conductors & Devices 366 Underground Conductors & Devices 368 Line Transformers 368 Line Transformers 369 Services 370 Meters 373 Street and Area Lighting Systems	<ul> <li>D = Distribution</li> </ul>	Demand Demand Demand Demand Demand Demand Customer Customer Customer	<ul> <li>D02 Non-coincident Peak Demand (NCP)</li> <li>D03/D04/D05 Direct Assign Large / Non-coincident Peak Demand Excl DA</li> <li>D03/D04/D05 Direct Assign Large / Non-coincident Peak Demand Excl DA</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA / NCP Secondary</li> <li>D03/C04/D06 Direct Assign Large / NCP Excl DA /</li></ul>	
General Plant All General	0=0ther	Demand/Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator	S23 25% direct O&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers	
Intangible Plant 301 Organization 302 Franchises & Consents 303 Mise Intangible Plant - Grant Co Transmission 303 Mise Intangible Plant - Software	0=Other P = Production T = Transmission 0=Other	Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator Demand/Energy by Hydro Peak Credit Demand/Energy by Trans Peak Credit Demand/Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator	<ul> <li>S23 25% direct O&amp;M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% mmber of customers D01/B02</li> <li>Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/B02</li> <li>Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption S23 25% direct O&amp;M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers</li> </ul>	
Reserve for Depreciation/Amortization Intangible Production Transmission Distribution General	P/T/O P = Production T = Transmission D = Distribution O=Other	Follows Related Plant Follows Related Plant Follows Related Plant Follows Related Plant Demand/Bnergy/Custonner by Corp Cost Allocator	S01/S02/S23 Sum of Production Plant / Sum of Transmission Plant / Corp Cost Allocator D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption D02/D03/D04/D05/D06/D07/D08/S022/C04/C05 - See Related Plant S23 25% direct O&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers	
Other Rate Base 252 Customer Advances for Construction 282/190 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Gain on Sale of General Office Building Hydro Related Deferred Balances Demand Side Management Investment	D = Distribution P/T/D/O by Plant Balances O=Other P = Production DSM	Customer Follows Related Plant Demand/Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator Demand/Energy by Hydro Peak Credit Demand/Energy from Production Plant	<ul> <li>S13 Sum of Account 369 Services Plant</li> <li>S01/S02/S03/S04 Sums of Production / Transmission / Distribution / General Plant</li> <li>S23 25% direct O&amp;M, 25% direct lator, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers</li> <li>D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption</li> <li>S01 Sum of Production Plant</li> </ul>	
Production O&M Thermal Thermal Fuel (501) Hydro	P = Production P = Production P = Production	Demand/Energy by Thermal Peak Credit Energy Demand/Energy by Hydro Peak Credit	D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Amual Generation Level Consumption E02 Annual Generation Level Consumption D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	
			Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 2, p. 7 of 9	

IPUC Case No. AVU-E-08-01 Methodology Matrix Avista Utilities Idaho Jurisdiction Electric Cost of Service Methodology				
Account	Functional Category	Classification	Allocation	
Production O&M (continued)	- - -	£	D03 Ammul Generation Level Consummtion	
Water for Power (536)	P = P roduction	Energy Demond/Ruesser, hv. Thermol Deate Credit	DOI 1780? Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	
Other (Coyote Spings)	F = Froduction P = Production	Fundation Lines of Linearian Lean View	E02 Annual Generation Level Consumption	
Other Other	P = Production	Demand	D01 Coincident Peak Demand	
Purchased Power and Other Expenses (555 and 557)	P = Production	Demand/Energy from Production Plant	D01/E02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	
System Control & Mise (556)	P = Production	Energy	E02 Annual Generation Level Consumption	
Transmission O&M				
All Transmission	T = Transmission	Demand/Energy by Trans Peak Credit	D01/B02 Coincident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	
Distribution O&M				
580 OP Super & Engineering	D = Distribution	Demand/Customer from Other Dist Op Exp	S16 Sum of Other Distribution Operating Expenses	
581 Load Dispatching	D = Distribution	Demand	DO2 Non-coincident Peak Lemand	
582 Station Expenses	D = D is tribution	Demand	SVP Sum of Account 202 Station Equipment S10 Sum of Accounts 364 and 365 Poles Towers Fixtures & Overhead Conductors	
285 Uverhead Lines	D = Distributor	Demand	811 Sum of Accounts 366 and 367 Underground Conduit & Underground Conductors	8
204 Underground Links 205 Streat 7 inhts	D = Distribution	Clistomer	S15 Sum of Account 373 Street Light and Signal Systems	
586 Meters	D = Distribution	Customer	S14 Sum of Account 370 Meters	
587 Customer Installations	D = Distribution	Customer	S13 Sum of Account 369 Services	
588 Misc Operating Expense	D = Distribution	Demand/Customer from Other Dist Op Exp	S16 Sum of Other Distribution Operating Expenses	
589 Rents	D = Distribution	Demand	D02 Non-coincident Peak Demand	
500 MT Suner & Hucineering	D = Distribution	Demand/Customer from Other Dist Mt Exp	S17 Sum of Other Distribution Maintenance Expenses	
591 MT of Structures	D = Distribution	Demand	S08 Sum of Account 361 Structures & Improvements	
592 MT of Station Equipment	D = Distribution	Demand	S09 Sum of Account 362 Station Equipment	
593 MT of Overhead Lines	D = Distribution	Demand	S10 Sum of Accounts 364 and 365 Poles, Towers, Fixtures & Overhead Conductors	
594 MT of Underground Lines	D = Distribution	Demand	S11 Sum of Accounts 366 and 367 Underground Conduit & Underground Conductors	22
595 MT of Line Transformers	D = Distribution	Demand	512 Sum of Account 308 Line Haustonmets C15 Sum of Account 273 Creat I inht and Signal Systems	
596 MT of Street Lights	D = D(stribution)	Customer	S14 Sum of Account 370 Meters	
597 MT of Meters 509 Miss Maintenance Bruence	D = Distribution	Customer Demand/Customer from Other Dist Mt Exp	S17 Sum of Other Distribution Maintenance Expenses	
296 MISC MAINCHARKS EXPENSE	Trannatheir r	ANT ALL STATE YOR O HAT ANTIMON MURINA		
Customer Accounts Expenses	-			
901 Supervision	C = Customer Relations	Customer	S18 Sum of Uther Customer Accounts Expenses Excuting Unconfectuates	
902 Meter Reading	C = Customer Relations	Customer	C03 Customers Weighted by Estimated Meter Reading Aime C01/C06 All Customare university of Direct Action Handhilled Clust	
903 Customer Records & Collections	D = Customer Kelations	Customer Devicence	Colloco Ali Cussourus unvegnou / Drever magn Ammerica Cons R01 Refail Sales Revenue	
905 Mise Cust Accounts	C = Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All Customers unweighted	
Customer Service & Info Expenses				
907 Supervision	C = Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All Customers unweighted	
908 Customer Assistance	C = Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All Customers unweighted	
908 DSM Amortization Expenses	DSM	Demand/Energy from Production Plant	S01 Sum of Production Plant	
909 Advertising	C = Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All Customers unweighted	
910 Mise Cust Service & Info	C = Customer Relations	Customer	TOO THE CONSISTENCE AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE TOO	
Sales Expenses				
911 - 916	C = Customer Relations	Energy	E02 Annual Generation Level Consumption	
			Exhibit No. 1	14
			Case No. AVU-E-08-0 T Know Avia	01
			Schedule 2, p. 8 of	ere f d

ar.

		oduction Plant ausmission Plant mers unweighted >&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers meration Level Consumption es Revenue	m of Production Plant / Sum of Transmission Plant / Corp Cost Alloctor cident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption sident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption 15/D06/D07/D08/C02/C04/C05 - See Related Plant 1&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers	Nums of Production / Transmission / Distribution / General Plant cident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption cident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption stribution Plant ess Expenses Before Income Taxes less Interest Expense ess Expenses Before Income Taxes less Interest Expense ess Expenses Before Income Taxes less Interest Expense	cident Peak Demand/Annual Generation Level Consumption	a Revenue per Revenue Study oduction Plant istribution Plant at Peak Demand oduction Plant stribution Plant ansmission Plant ansmission Plant tribution Plant at peak Demand	oduction Plant ansmission Plant istribution Plant ther Customer Accounts Expenses Excluding Uncollectibles uners unweighted enteration Level Consumption 3&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 2&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers accession Level Construction 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers accession Level Construction 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 29% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 20% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3&M, 25% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% number of customers 3% direct labor, 25% net direct plant, 25% net dire
	Allocation	S01Sum of PnS02Sum of TiS03Sum of DiS03Sum of DiS01All CustonS2325% direct CE02Annual GE02Annual GR01Retail Sal	S01/S02/S23 Suu D01/B02 Coin D01/B02 Coin D02/D03/D04/D0 S23 25% direct C	S01/S02/S03/S04 D01/B02 Coin D01/B02 Coin S03 Sun of Di R03 Revenue 1 R03 Revenue 1 R03 Revenue 1 R03 Revenue 1	D01/E02 Coin	Input Pro Form S01 Sum of Pr S03 Sum of Di S03 Sum of Di S01 Sum of Pr S03 Sum of Cr S03 Sum of Cr S03 Sum of Di S03 Sum of Di S03 Sum of Di S03 Sum of Di S01 Sum of Di S	<ul> <li>S01 Sum of Pr</li> <li>S02 Sum of Ti</li> <li>S03 Sum of O</li> <li>S18 Sum of O</li> <li>S18 Sum of O</li> <li>C01 All Custo</li> <li>E02 Annual G</li> <li>E02 Annual G</li> <li>S23 25% direct (</li> </ul>
	Classification	Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Transmission Plant Demand/Customer from Distribution Plant Customer Demand/Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator Energy Revenue	Demand/Energy/Customer as in related Plant Demand/Energy as in related Plant Demand/Energy as in related Plant Demand/Customer as in related Plant Demand/Energy/Customer by Conp Cost Allocator	Demand/Energy/Customer from Related Plant Demand/Energy by Combo Peak Credits & Energy Demand/Energy by Combo Peak Credits & Energy Demand/Customer from Distribution Plant Revenue Revenue Revenue	Demand/Energy as in related Plant	Revenue Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Customer from Distribution Plant Demand Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Transmission Plant Demand/Energy from Transmission Plant Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Production Plant	Demand/Energy from Production Plant Demand/Energy from Transmission Plant Demand/Customer from Distribution Plant Customer Customer Energy Energy/Customer by Corp Cost Allocator
	Functional Category	P = Production T = Transmission D = Distribution C = Customer Relations 0=Other P = Production R = Revenue Conversion	P/T/O P = Production T = Transmission D = Distribution O=Other	P/T/D/O P = Production P = Production D = Distribution R = Revenue Conversion R = Revenue Conversion R = Revenue Conversion	P = Production	R = Revenue from Rates P = Production D = Distribution P = Production P = Production D = Distribution T = Transtuission D = Distribution P = Production P = Production P = Production	P = Production T = Transmission D = Distribution C = Customer Relations C = Customer Relations C = Customer Relations O=Other
IPUC Case No. AVU-E-08-01 Methodology Matrix Avista Utilities Idaho Junsdiction Electric Cost of Service Methodology	Account	Admin & General Expenses 920 - 927 & 930 -935 Assigned to Production 920 - 927 & 930 -935 Assigned to Transmission 920 - 927 & 930 - 935 Assigned to Distribution 920 - 927 & 930 - 935 Assigned to Customer Relations 920 - 935 Assigned to Other 928 FERC Commission Fees 928 PUC Commission Fees	Depreciation & Amortization Expense Intangible Production Transmission Distribution General	Taxes Property Tax State kWh Generation Taxes Mise Production Taxes Mise Distribution Taxes Idaho State Income Tax Federal Income Tax Deferred FIT	Other Income Related Items CS2 Levelized Return and Boulder Write-off Amort.	<b>Operating Revenues</b> Sales of Electricity- Retail Sales for Resale (447) Miss Service Revenue (451) Sales of Water & Water Power (453) Rent from Production Property (454) Rent from Distribution Property (454) Other Electric Revenues - Generation (456) Other Electric Revenues - Wheeling (456) Other Electric Revenues - Baergy Delivery (456)	Salaries & Wages (allocation factor input) Operation & Maintenance Expenses Production Total Transmission Total Distribution Total Customer Accounts Total Customer Service Total Sales Total Admin & General Total

	Sumcost Scenario: Company Base Case AVU-E-04-01 Method	)	AVISTA UTILITII Cost of Service E For the Year End	ES Basic Summary Jed December 3	1, 2007	id	aho Jurisdictio Electric Utility	n		03-18-08
	(b) (c) (d)	) (e)	(f)	(g) Residential	(h) General	(i) Large Gen	(j) Extra Large	(k) Extra Large	(I) Pumping Sontion	(m) Street & Area Lights
	Description		System Total	Service Sch 1	Service Sch 11-12	Service Sch 21-22	Sch 25	Service Pollaton Sch 25P	Sch 31-32	Sch 41-49
	Plant In Service		1 otal		••••					
1	Production Plant		349,419,000	123,948,683	35,008,568	70,179,596	30,627,751	82,600,694	5,905,485	1,148,222
2	Transmission Plant		153,519,000	53,811,223	15,204,909	30,833,976	13,554,024	36,979,568	2,608,315	526,984
3	Distribution Plant		365,131,000	183,065,950	58,338,616	83,921,796	11,469,208	2,105,462	8,085,480	18,144,488
4	Intangible Plant		23,770,000	9,447,400	2,548,292	4,458,737	1,844,839	4,897,055	398,384	175,291
5	General Plant		55,533,000	29,356,229	7,246,227	8,430,323	2,672,657	5,946,234	890,769	990,562
6	Total Plant in Service		947,372,000	399,629,485	118,346,611	197,824,428	60,168,480	132,529,014	17,888,433	20,985,548
_	Accum Depreciation		(40.4 740.000)	(17 000 747)	(40.450.014)	(07.062.005)	/44 005 006)	(22 029 003)	(2 280 844)	(448 491)
7	Production Plant		(134,/49,000)	(47,635,747)	(13,450,014)	(27,003,925)	(11,000,000)	(32,020,093)	(877 747)	(177 340)
ð	Distribution Plant		(01,002,000)	(10,100,470)	(16 812 884)	(25 432 107)	(3 115 642)	(574,733)	(2.316.497)	(8.085.701)
10	Intendible Plant		(111,002,000)	(00,024,400)	(556 724)	(743,985)	(263.667)	(637,755)	(73,927)	(64,963)
11	General Plant		(24 058 000)	(12 717 702)	(3,139,210)	(3.652.183)	(1.157.848)	(2.576,027)	(385,899)	(429,131)
12	Total Accumulated Depreciat	ion	(326,671,000)	(135,985,342)	(39,081,567)	(67,268,407)	(20,934,224)	(48,260,921)	(5,934,914)	(9,205,626)
13	Net Plant		620.701.000	263.644.143	79,265,044	130,556,021	39,234,257	84,268,094	11,953,519	11,779,923
14	Accumulated Deferred FIT		(88,531,000)	(37,017,203)	(10,836,262)	(18,236,718)	(5,810,553)	(13,221,784)	(1,643,787)	(1,764,693)
15	Miscellaneous Rate Base		16,096,000	5,212,821	1,535,993	3,392,291	1,503,131	4,118,703	278,963	54,097
16	Total Rate Base		548,266,000	231,839,762	69,964,775	115,711,593	34,926,835	75,165,013	10,588,696	10,069,327
17	Revenue From Retail Rates		193,270,000	75,282,000	24,573,000	40,085,000	13,077,000	34,045,000	3,690,000	2,518,000
18	Other Operating Revenues		31,389,000	11,319,081	3,221,092	6,342,676	2,6/8,443	7,125,315	537,023	104,//1
19	Total Revenues		224,659,000	86,601,081	27,794,092	46,427,676	15,/55,443	41,170,315	4,227,020	2,002,171
00	Operating Expenses		110 070 000	41 205 607	11 607 027	23 804 086	10 551 358	28 993 533	2 028 014	419.375
20	Transmission Expenses		8 348 000	2 926 127	826 807	1 676 679	737.036	2.010.861	141.834	28,656
21	Distribution Expenses		8 537 000	4 069 514	1 138 788	2 003 212	348.837	70.502	156,467	749,679
23	Customer Accounting Expense	es	3,291,000	2.465.581	547.061	127,538	28,470	72,962	41,367	8,021
24	Customer Information Expense	es	1,518,000	649,075	165,574	259,923	112,222	302,587	24,160	4,459
25	Sales Expenses		276,000	92,283	26,119	55,436	25,041	71,235	4,784	1,103
26	Admin & General Expenses		20,109,000	10,345,438	2,612,430	3,195,884	1,006,053	2,252,631	330,565	365,998
27	Total O&M Expenses		161,049,000	61,933,715	17,013,815	31,213,658	12,809,018	33,774,312	2,727,191	1,577,291
28	Taxes Other Than Income Tax	es	6,413,000	2,544,288	749,790	1,335,626	458,229	1,099,714	118,113	107,239
29	Other Income Related Items		(158,000)	(59,188)	(16,687)	(31,733)	(13,375)	) (34,004)	(2,604)	(410)
30	Production Plant Depreciation	1	9,073,000	3,237,319	914,179	1,822,274	792,430	2,124,699	152,941	29,157
31	Transmission Plant Depreciati	ion	3,112,000	1,090,813	308,220	625,039	274,755	749,617	52,873	10,683
32	Distribution Plant Depreciation	n	9,159,000	4,502,933	1,488,388	2,199,909	320,557	50,232	210,580	386,400
33	<b>General Plant Depreciation</b>		3,842,000	2,030,984	501,324	583,244	184,905	411,385	61,627	68,531
34	Amortization Expense		637,000	229,264	64,722	127,938	55,337	147,064	10,696	1,978
35	Total Depreciation Expense		25,823,000	11,091,314	3,276,834	5,358,404	1,627,984	3,482,997	488,/18	496,750
36 37	Income Tax Total Operating Expenses		4,290,000 197.417.000	1,013,249 76.523.379	1,528,184 22.551,936	1,582,752 39,458,708	(132,046) 14,749,810	) 61,225 38,384,244	185,417 3,516,834	2,232,089
38	Net Income		27,242,000	10,077,702	5,242,156	6,968,968	1,005,633	2,786,071	710,789	450,682
20	Rate of Return		A 07%	A 35%	7 40%	6 02%	2 88%	3.71%	6.71%	4.48%
40	Return Ratio		1 00	03%	1.51	1.21	0.58	3 0.75	1.35	0.90
41	interest Expense		19,518,000	8,253,382	2,490,712	4,119,276	1,243,378	2,675,837	376,952	358,463

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 3, p. 1 of 3

	Sumcost Scenario: Compa	ny Base	Case		AVISTA UTILITII Revenue to Cost	ES t by Functional (	Component Sur	lo nmary	daho Jurisdictio Electric Utility	n		03-18-08
	AVU-E-04-01 Mel	inoa			For the Year End	ded December 3	51, 2007					
	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(i)	(k)	(1)	(m)
						Residential	General	Large Gen	Extra Large	Extra Large	Pumping	Street &
	December				System	Service	Service	Service	Gen Service	Service Potlation	Service	Area Lights
	Description	Compo	nonte a	t Curro	I 0131	Scn I	Sch 11-12	501 21-22	501125	SUI 25F	30131-32	001141-40
1	Production	compo	liente a		117.314.335	40.313.386	12.416.443	24.385.897	9.873.346	27.806.569	2,107,399	411,296
2	Transmission				15,109,239	5,099,767	1,871,804	3,387,609	1,105,369	3,291,359	302,776	50,555
3	Distribution				38,245,594	18,043,943	7,197,848	8,811,528	1,050,641	579,955	905,478	1,656,202
4	Common				22,600,832	11,824,903	3,086,906	3,499,967	1,047,645	2,367,116	374,347	399,948
5	Total Current I	Rate Rev	/enue		193,270,000	75,282,000	24,573,000	40,085,000	13,077,000	34,045,000	3,690,000	2,518,000
	Evoressed as \$/k	Wh										
6	Production				\$0.03421	\$0.03546	\$0.03859	\$0.03563	\$0.03128	\$0.03096	\$0.03576	\$0.03028
7	Transmission				\$0.00441	\$0.00449	\$0.00582	\$0.00495	\$0.00350	\$0.00366	\$0.00514	\$0.00372
8	Distribution				\$0.01115	\$0.01587	\$0.02237	\$0.01288	\$0.00333	\$0.00065	\$0.01537	\$0.12193
9	Common				\$0.00659	\$0.01040	\$0.00959	\$0.00511	\$0.00332	\$0.00264	\$0.00635	\$0.02944
10	Total Current I	Melded F	Rates		\$0.05636	\$0.06623	\$0.07638	\$0.05858	\$0.04143	\$0.03790	\$0.06262	\$0.18537
	Functional Cost	Compo	nente a	at Linifo	rm Current Retu	m						
11	Production	Jourho			117,995.190	41,028.867	11,596.359	23,699,203	10,467,579	28,774,853	2,011,773	416,556
12	Transmission				15,409,177	5,401,199	1,526,164	3,094,902	1,360,459	3,711,754	261,805	52,895
13	Distribution				37,241,883	19,145,624	5,738,999	7,950,640	1,300,608	618,486	764,953	1,722,572
14	Common	_			22,623,750	11,959,519	2,952,061	3,434,454	1,088,821	2,422,454	362,893	403,548
15	Total Uniform	Current	Cost		193,270,000	77,535,210	21,813,583	38,179,198	14,217,468	35,527,546	3,401,424	2,595,571
	Expressed as \$/k	Wh										
16	Production				\$0.03441	\$0.03609	\$0.03604	\$0.03463	\$0.03316	\$0.03203	\$0.03414	\$0.03067
17	Transmission				\$0.00449	\$0.00475	\$0.00474	\$0.00452	\$0.00431	\$0.00413	\$0.00444	\$0.00389
18	Distribution				\$0.01086	\$0.01684	\$0.01784	\$0.01162	\$0.00412	\$0.00069	\$0.01298	\$0,12681
19 20	Common Total Current	Iniform	Maldad	Rates	\$0.00660	\$0.01052	\$0.00918	\$0.00502	\$0.00345	\$0.0270	\$0.00010	\$0.19108
21	Revenue to Cost F	Ratio at C	urrent F	Rates	1.00	0.97	1.13	1.05	0.92	0.96	1.08	0.97
	Europhic and Oale	0		at Danam	e e e d' Detrum hu d	Pahadula						
00	Functional Cost	Compo	nents a	at Prop	130 110 384	SCNEQUIE 44 339 439	13 646 603	26 818 145	11 018 412	31 535 243	2,313,306	440.238
23	Transmission				20.514.455	6.776.019	2.384.762	4.412.867	1.591.776	4,895,805	390,013	63,213
24	Distribution				50,830,925	24,169,376	9,361,742	11,825,050	1,527,225	727,466	1,204,470	2,015,596
25	Common				24,142,236	12,589,166	3,290,894	3,733,938	1,127,587	2,581,486	399,211	419,953
26	Total Propose	d Rate F	Revenue	e	225,598,000	87,873,000	28,684,000	46,790,000	15,265,000	39,740,000	4,307,000	2,939,000
	Expressed as \$/k	Wh										
27	Production				\$0.03794	\$0.03901	\$0.04242	\$0.03919	\$0.03491	\$0.03511	\$0.03926	\$0.03241
28	Transmission				\$0.00598	\$0.00596	\$0.00741	\$0.00645	\$0.00504	\$0.00545	\$0.00662	\$0.00465
29	Distribution				\$0.01482	\$0.02126	\$0.02910	\$0.01728	\$0.00484	\$0.00081	\$0.02044	\$0.14839
30	Common				\$0.00704	\$0.01108	\$0.01023	\$0.00546	\$0.00357	\$0.00287	\$0.00677	\$0.03092
31	l otal Propose	a Melae	d Hates	5	\$0.06579	\$0.07730	20.08910	\$0.06837	<b>Ф</b> 0.04630	<b>⊉</b> 0.04424	φ <b>0.0730</b> 9	φ0.21007
	Functional Cost	Compo	nents	at Unifo	orm Requested F	Return						
32	Production				130,308,838	45,394,422	12,829,408	26,172,357	11,547,280	31,688,330	2,219,937	457,104
33	Transmission				20,600,662	7,220,909	2,040,341	4,137,601	1,818,810	4,962,276	350,009	70,716
34	Distribution				50,497,809	25,795,375	7,908,043	11,015,458	1,/49,098	7 33,338	388 027	2,220,410
აა 36	Total Uniform	Cost			225,598,000	91,198,552	25,934,316	44,997,742	16,280,022	39,974,399	4,025,234	3,187,735
~	Expressed as \$/	Wh			<b>#0.0000</b>	<b>60 00000</b>	¢0.00000	¢0.00005	¢0.03650	¢0 02500	\$0 02767	\$0 02265
୍ ଏ/ ଅନ	Transmission				90.03800 \$0.00601	\$0.03993 \$0.00632	40.03900 \$0.00634	\$0.03625 \$0.00605	\$0.000576	\$0.00552	\$0.00594	\$0.00521
39	Distribution				\$0.01473	\$0.02269	\$0.02458	\$0.01610	\$0.00554	\$0.00082	\$0.01811	\$0.16405
40	Common				\$0.00705	\$0.01125	\$0.00981	\$0.00537	\$0.00369	\$0.00288	\$0.00658	\$0.03177
41	Total Uniform	Melded	Rates		\$0.06579	\$0.08023	\$0.08061	\$0.06575	\$0.05158	\$0.04450	\$0.06831	\$0.23468
42	Revenue to Cost	Ratio at P	ropose	d Rates	1.00	0.96	1.11	1.04	0.94	0.99	1.07	0.92
43	Current Revenue	to Propo	sed Cos	t Ratio	0.86	0.83	0.95	0.89	0.80	0.85	0.92	0.79
											E>	chibit No. 14

Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 3, p. 2 of 3

	Sumcost				AVISTA UTILITIE	S		lo	daho Jurisdictio	o <b>n</b>		
	Scenario: Comp	any Base	Case		Revenue to Cost	By Classificatio	n Summary		Electric Utility			03-18-08
	AVU-E-04-01 M	ethod			For the Year End	ed December 3	1, 2007		-			
	(	0										
	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)
		.,				Residential	General	Large Gen	Extra Large	Extra Large	Pumping	Street &
					System	Service	Service	Service	Gen Service	Service Potlatch	Service	Area Lights
	Description				Total	Sch 1	Sch 11-12	Sch 21-22	Sch 25	Sch 25P	Sch 31-32	Sch 41-49
	Cost Classifica	tione at C	urrent	Potur	hy Schodule	00111	0011112	001121122				
4	Cust Classifica	uons al o	unent	netun	100 224 252	25 150 429	10 001 402	00 170 /08	0 124 553	26 623 905	1 950 780	421 757
1	Energy				100,334,253	35,159,420	10,001,403	22,172,420	9,124,000	7 400 441	1 440 006	907 205
2	Demand				69,137,127	27,848,290	10,237,132	17,427,091	3,940,973	7,420,441	1,449,990	1 000 000
3	Customer				17,798,620	12,274,282	3,454,465	485,481	5,4/4	654	289,224	1,289,038
4	Total Current	Rate Rev	enue		193,270,000	75,282,000	24,573,000	40,085,000	13,077,000	34,045,000	3,690,000	2,518,000
	Expressed as U	nit Cost										
5	Energy	\$/kWh			\$0.03101	\$0.03093	\$0.03382	\$0.03240	\$0.02891	\$0.02964	\$0.03311	\$0.03105
6	Demand	\$/kW/m	n		\$8.69	\$9.23	\$10.68	\$9.52	\$6.62	\$5.41	\$10.18	\$19.69
7	Customer	¢/Cuet/r			\$12.54	\$10.55	\$15.51	\$28.66	\$35.09	\$54.53	\$19,19	\$854.23
'	Quatomer	ψισασιτί			ψ12.5 <del>4</del>	ψ10.00	φ10.01	<b>\$</b> 20.00	400.00		•••••	•••••
	• · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•								
-	Cost Classifica	tions at L	Initorm	Curre	nt Return		40 405	04 544 000	0 74 0 070	07 044 700	1 050 005	407 044
8	Energy				107,098,144	35,809,128	10,135,170	21,511,023	9,716,872	27,641,706	1,800,335	427,911
9	Demand				68,533,320	29,083,623	8,705,851	16,232,748	4,492,959	7,885,043	1,292,795	840,300
10	Customer				17,638,536	12,642,458	2,972,562	435,427	7,637	798	252,295	1,327,360
11	Total Uniform	n Current (	Cost		193,270,000	77,535,210	21,813,583	38,179,198	14,217,468	35,527,546	3,401,424	2,595,571
	Evoressed as II	nit Cost								•		
12	Enpressed us o	¢////h			\$0.03123	\$0.03150	\$0.03150	\$0.03143	\$0.03079	\$0.03077	\$0.03150	\$0.03150
12	Domond	\$/1.\\/m	•		¢0.00120	¢0.00100	¢0.00100	\$9.97	\$7.53	\$5.75	\$9.07	\$20.50
13	Demanu	Φ/KVV/10	0		0.00	ው ው ው ው ው ው ው ው ው መ	49.09 #10.05	40.07 CC 70	ψ7.JU ¢40.0E	¢66.49	¢0.07	\$970.63
14	Customer	\$/Cust/i	no		\$12.42	\$10.67	\$13.30	\$20.70	φ40. <del>3</del> 5	φ00.40	ψι0.74	φ010.00
15	Devenue te Cost	Datia at C		ataa	1.00	0.07	1 19	1.05	0.92	0.96	1.08	0.97
10	nevenue to cost		urrent n	ales	1.00	0.97	1.10	1.05	0.52	0.00	1.00	
	Cost Classifica	itions at F	ropose	ed Ret	urn by Schedule							155 504
16	Energy				118,738,279	38,812,046	12,000,099	24,512,943	10,264,753	30,538,985	2,153,931	455,521
17	Demand				85,820,646	34,729,336	12,512,866	21,616,404	4,990,656	9,199,815	1,785,187	986,383
18	Customer				21,039,076	14,331,618	4,171,035	660,653	9,591	1,201	367,883	1,497,096
19	Total Propos	ed Rate F	levenue	1	225,598,000	87,873,000	28,684,000	46,790,000	15,265,000	39,740,000	4,307,000	2,939,000
	Expressed as II	nit Cost										
20	Energy	¢/LANH			¢0 03463	\$0 0241A	\$በ በ2720	\$0 03583	\$0 03252	\$0.03400	\$0.03655	\$0.03353
20	Domond	Φ/I\VVII Φ/I\λλ//ma	-		\$0.03403 \$10.70	φ0.0414	\$0.007.00 \$13.00	¢1101	¢0.002.02	¢0.00100 ¢6 71	\$12.53	\$24.06
21	Demand	\$/KW/M	0		\$10.79	\$11.51	\$13.00	\$11.01 \$99.00	0.07 0.10	1.00 0.00	Ø12.00	¢000 11
22	Customer	\$/Cust/	mo		\$14.82	\$12.32	\$18.73	\$38.99	\$61.48	\$100.06	ቅረ4.41	\$992.II
	Cost Classifica	ations at l	Jniform	Requ	ested Return							
23	Energy				118,947,168	39,770,945	11,256,495	23,890,939	10,791,918	30,699,903	2,061,714	475,254
24	Demand				85,506,864	36,552,591	10,986,989	20,493,223	5,476,588	9,273,273	1,631,695	1,092,504
25	Customer				21,143,968	14.875.016	3.690.832	613,581	11,516	1,223	331,825	1,619,976
26	Total Uniform	n Cost			225 598 000	91 198 552	25 934 316	44 997 742	16,280,022	39,974,399	4.025.234	3,187,735
20					,000,000	0.,,00,004	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, • , • , •	.,,		
~-	Expressed as U	Init Cost			#C 00400	A0 00 400	#0.00400	#0 00 404	\$0.00440	¢0.00440	\$0.02400	¢0 03/00
21	Energy	\$∕KWN			\$U.U3469	<b>Φ</b> 0.03499	<b>\$U.U3499</b>	QU.U3491	φU.U3419	ው 77 ው 77	444 AF	\$0.00433 \$06 65
28	Demand	\$/kW/m	10		\$10.75	\$12.11	\$11.47	\$11.19	\$9.18	\$0.//	<b>ΦΙΙ.45</b>	⊕20.00
29	Customer	\$/Cust/	mo		\$14.89	\$12.79	\$16.57	\$36.22	\$73.82	\$101.94	\$22.02	\$1,073.54
30	Revenue to Cost	t Ratio at P	roposed	l Rates	1.00	0.96	1.11	1.04	0.94	0.99	1.07	0.92
31	Current Revenue	e to Propos	sed Cosi	t Ratio	0.86	0.83	0.95	0.89	0.80	0.85	0.92	0.79

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-E-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 3, p. 3 of 3

#### NATURAL GAS COST OF SERVICE STUDY

A cost of service study is an engineering-economic study, which apportions the revenue, expenses, and rate base associated with providing natural gas service to designated groups of customers. It indicates whether the revenue provided by the customers recovers the cost to serve those customers. The study results are used as a guide in determining the appropriate rate spread among the groups of customers.

There are three basic steps involved in a cost of service study: functionalization, classification, and allocation. See flow chart.

First, the expenses and rate base associated with the natural gas system under study are assigned to functional categories. The uniform system of accounts provides the basic segregation into production, underground storage, and distribution. Traditionally customer accounting, customer information, and sales expenses are included in the distribution function and administrative and general expenses and general plant rate base are allocated to all functions. In this study I have created a separate functional category for common costs. Administrative and general costs that cannot be directly assigned to the other functions have been placed in this category.

Second, the expenses and rate base items are classified into three primary cost components: Demand, commodity or customer related. Demand (capacity) related costs are allocated to rate schedules on the basis of each schedule's contribution to system peak demand. Commodity (energy) related costs are allocated based on each rate schedule's share of commodity consumption. Customer related items are allocated to rate schedules based on the number of customers within each schedule. The number of customers may be weighted by appropriate factors such as relative cost of metering equipment. In addition to these three cost components, any revenue related expense is allocated based on the proportion of revenues by rate schedule.

> Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 1 of 9

# NATURAL GAS COST OF SERVICE STUDY FLOWCHART



Pro Forma Results of Operations by Customer Group

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 2 of 9 The final step is allocation of the costs to the various rate schedules utilizing the allocation factors selected for each specific cost item. These factors are derived from usage and customer information associated with the test period results of operations.

# BASE CASE COST OF SERVICE STUDY

## **Production - Purchased Gas Costs**

The Company has no natural gas production facilities serving the Idaho jurisdiction. The natural gas costs included in the production function include the cost of gas purchased to serve sales customers, pipeline transportation to get it to our system, and expenses of the gas supply department.

The demand and commodity components of account 804 have been determined directly from the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG) approved in the most recent purchased gas adjustment (PGA) filing effective November 1, 2007. The allocation of these costs agrees with the gas costs computation used to determine pro forma results of operations.

The expenses of the gas supply department recorded in account 813 are classified as commodity related costs. The gas scheduling process includes transportation customers, so estimated scheduling dispatch labor expenses are allocated by throughput. The remaining gas supply department expenses are allocated by sales volumes.

# **Underground Storage**

Underground storage rate base, operating and maintenance expenses are classified as commodity related and allocated to customer groups by winter throughput. This approach was proposed by commission Staff and accepted by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission in Case No. AVU-G-04-01.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 3 of 9

### Distribution Facilities Classification (Peak and Average)

Distribution mains and regulator station equipment (both general use and city gate stations) are classified Demand and Commodity using the peak and average ratio for the distribution system. Peak demand is defined as the average of the five-day sustained peaks from the most recent three years. Average daily load is calculated by dividing annual throughput by 365 (days in the year). The average daily load is divided by peak load to arrive at the system load factor of 38%. This proportion is classified as commodity related. The remaining 62% is classified as demand related. Meters, services and industrial measuring & regulating equipment are classified as customer related distribution plant. Distribution operating and maintenance expenses are classified (and allocated) in relation to the plant accounts they are associated with.

### **Customer Relations Distribution Cost Classification**

Customer service, customer information and sales expenses are the core of the customer relations functional unit which is included with the distribution cost category. For the most part these costs are classified as customer related. Exceptions include uncollectible accounts expense, which is considered separately as a revenue conversion item, and Demand Side Management amortization expense recorded in Account 908. The demand side management investment costs and amortization expense are included with the distribution function and classified to demand and commodity by the peak and average ratio.

#### **Distribution Cost Allocation**

Demand related distribution costs are allocated to customer groups (rate schedules) by each groups' contribution to the three year average five-day sustained peak. Commodity related distribution costs are allocated to customer groups by annual throughput. Distribution main investment has been segregated into large and small mains. Small mains are defined as less than four inches, with large mains being four inches or greater. The small main costs use the same

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 4 of 9 demand and commodity data, but large usage customers (Schedules 121, 131, and 146) that connect to large system mains have been excluded from the allocations.

Most customer related costs are allocated by the annualized number of customers billed during the test period. Meter investment costs are allocated using the number of customers weighted by the relative current cost of meters in service at December 31, 2007. Services investment costs are allocated using the number of customers weighted by the relative current cost of typical service installations. Industrial measuring and regulating equipment investment costs are allocated by number of customers excluding the small usage customer groups (Schedules101 and 111).

#### **Administrative and General Costs**

General and intangible rate base items are allocated by the sum of Underground Storage and Distribution plant. Administrative and general expenses are segregated into plant related, labor related, revenue related and other. The plant related items are allocated based on total plant in service. Labor related items are allocated by operating and maintenance labor expense. Revenue related items are allocated by pro forma revenue. Other administrative and general expenses are allocated 50% by annual throughput (classified commodity related) and 50% by the sum of operating and maintenance expenses not including purchased gas cost or administrative & general expenses. Whenever costs are allocated by sums of other items within the study, classifications are imputed from the relationship embedded in the summed items.

#### **Special Contract Customer Revenue**

Three special contract customers receive transportation service from the Company. Rates for these customers were individually negotiated to cover any incremental costs and retain some contribution to margin. The rates for these customers are not being adjusted in this case. The revenue from these special contract customers has been segregated from general rate revenue and

> Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 5 of 9

allocated back to all the other rate classes by relative rate base. In treating these revenues like other operating revenues their system contribution reduces costs for all rate schedules.

#### **Revenue Conversion Items**

In this study uncollectible accounts and commission fees have been classified as revenue related and are allocated by pro forma revenue. These items vary with revenue and are included in the calculation of the revenue conversion factor. Income tax expense items are allocated to schedules by net income before income tax less interest expense.

For the functional summaries on pages 2 and 3 of the cost of service study, these items are assigned to the component cost categories. The revenue related expense items have been reduced to a percent of all other costs and loaded onto each cost category b that ratio. Similarly, income tax items have been assigned to cost categories by relative rate base (as is net income).

The following matrix outlines the methodology applied in the Company Base Case natural gas cost of service study.

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 6 of 9

IPUC Case No. AVU-G-08-01 Method Avista Utilities Idaho Jurisdiction Natural Gas Cost of Service Methodolc	ology Matrix vgy		
Account	Functional Category	Classification	Allocation
Underground Storage Plant 350 - 357 Underground Storage	Underground Storage	Commodity	E08 Winter throughput
Distribution Plant 374 Land 375 Structures 376(L) Large Mains 376(L) Large Mains 379 M&R General 379 M&R City Gate 380 Services 381 Meters 387 Other	Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other Dist Plant Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other Dist Plant Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average Customer Customer Customer Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other Dist Plant	<ul> <li>S05 Sum of accounts 376-385</li> <li>S05 Sum of accounts 376-385</li> <li>S05 Sum of accounts 376-385</li> <li>D02/E06 Coincident peak, annual therms (both excl lg use cust)</li> <li>D01/E01 Coincident peak (all), annual throughput (all)</li> <li>C02, Customers weighted by average current meter cost C03, Customers weighted by average current meter cost C06, Large use customers</li> <li>S05 Sum of accounts 376-385</li> </ul>
General Plant 389-399 All General Plant	Common	Demand/Commodity/Customer from UG & D Plant	S03 Sum of Underground Storage and Distribution Plant in Service
Intangible Plant 303 Misc Intangible Plant 303 Computer Software	Distribution Common	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Dist Plant Demand/Commodity/Customer from UG & D Plant	S15 Sum of Distribution Plant in Service S03 Sum of Underground Storage and Distribution Plant in Service
Reserve for Depreciation Underground Storage Distribution General Intangible	Underground Storage Distribution Common Distribution/Common	Commodity same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant	Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts
Other Rate Base Accumulated Deferred FIT Constuction Advances Gas Inventory Gain on Sale of Office Bldg DSM Investment	All Distribution Underground Storage Common Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Plant in Service Customer Commodity from Underground Storage Plant Demand/Commodity/Customer from UG & D Plant Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average	<ul> <li>S17 Sum of Total Plant in Service</li> <li>C10 Residential only</li> <li>S14 Sum of Underground Storage Plant in Service</li> <li>S03 Sum of Underground Storage and Distribution Plant in Service</li> <li>D01/E01 Coincident peak (all), annual throughput (all)</li> </ul>
<b>Purchased Gas Expenses</b> 804 Purchased Gas Cost 813 Other Gas Expenses	<b>Production</b> <b>Production</b>	Demand/Commodity from PGA Tracker WACOG Commodity	D05/E07 PGA Demand / PGA Commodity E01/E04 Annual Throughput / Annual Sales Therms
Underground Storage O&M 814 - 837 Underground Storage Exp	Underground Storage	Commodity	E08 Winter throughput Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 7 of 9

Account	Functional Category	Classification	Allocation
Distribution O&M			
870 OP Super & Engineering	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Dist Plant	S15 Sum of Distribution Plant in Service
871 Load Dispatching	Distribution	Commodity	E01 Annual throughput
874 Mains & Services	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from related plant	S06 Sum of Mains and Services Plant in Service
875 M&R Station - General	Distribution	Demand/Commodity from related plant	S08 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - General Plant in Service
876 M&R Station - Industrial	Distribution	Customer from related plant	S19 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - Industrial Plant in Service
877 M&R Station - City Gate	Distribution	Demand/Commodity from related plant	S09 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - City Gate Plant in Service
878 Meter & House Regulator	Distribution	Customer from related plant	S07 Sum of Meter and Installation Plant in Service
879 Customer Installations	Distribution	Customer	C05, Customers weighted by average current meter cost
880 Other OP Expenses	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from other dist expens	S04 Sum of Accounts 870 - 879 and 881 - 894
881 Rents	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from other dist expens	2 S04 Sum of Accounts 870 - 879 and 881 - 894
885 MT Super & Engineering	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Dist Plant	S15 Sum of Distribution Plant in Service
886 MT of Structures	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other Dist Plant	S05 Sum of accounts 376-385
887 MT of Mains	Distribution	Demand/Commodity from related plant	S21 Sum of Distribution Mains Plant in Service
889 MT of M&R General	Distribution	Demand/Commodity from related plant	S08 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - General Plant in Service
890 MT of M&R Industrial	Distribution	Customer from related plant	S19 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - Industrial Plant in Service
891 MT of M&R City Gate	Distribution	Demand/Commodity from related plant	S09 Sum of Meas & Reg Station - City Gate Plant in Service
892 MT of Services	Distribution	Customer from related plant	S20 Sum of Services Plant in Services
893 MT of Meters & Hs Reg	Distribution	Customer from related plant	S07 Sum of Meter and Installation Plant in Service
894 MT of Other Equipment	Distribution	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Dist Plant	S15 Sum of Distribution Plant in Service
Customer Accounting Expenses			
On Sumericion	Customer Relations	Customer	(C01 All customers (unweighted)
201 Utput Vision 000 Meter Deading	Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All customers (inweighted)
202 Meter Nealing	Customer Deletions	Customer	CO1 All mistomers (innueighted)
903 Customer Records & Collections	Customer Relations	Customer	DOI FUI UNIVILIA (MIWUIGHAU)
904 Uncollectible Accounts	Kevenue Conversion	Kevenue	KU5 Ketall Sales Kevenue
905 Misc Cust Accounts	Customer Kelations	Customer	CUI All customers (unwergineu)
Customer Service & Info Exnenses			
907 Supervision	Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All customers (unweighted)
908 Customer Assistance	Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All customers (unweighted)
908 DSM Amortization	Distribution	Demand/Commodity by Peak & Average	D01/E01 Coincident peak (all), annual throughput (all)
909 Advertising	Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All customers (unweighted)
910 Misc Cust Service & Info	<b>Customer Relations</b>	Customer	C01 All customers (unweighted)
Colos Venonece			
911 - 916 Sales Expenses	Customer Relations	Customer	C01 All customers (unweighted)
some some our - un			

1

IPUC Case No. AVU-G-08-01 Methodology Matrix Avista Utilities Idaho Jurisdiction Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 8 of 9

IPUC Case No. AVU-G-08-01 Methoo Avista Utilities Idaho Jurisdiction Natural Gas Cost of Service Methodol	Jology Matrix ogy		
Account	Functional Category	Classification	Allocation
Admin & General Expenses 920 Salaries 921 Office Supplies 923 Outside Services 924 Property Insurance 925 Injuries & Damages 926 Pensions & Benefits 927 Franchise Requirements 928 Regulatory Commision 928 Commission Fees 930 Miscellaneous General 931 Rents 935 MT of General Plant	Common Common Common Common Common Common Common Revenue Conmon Common Common Common Common Common	Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M Revenue Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M Revenue Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M Demand/Commodity/Customer from Other O&M	<ul> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S17 Sum of Total Plant in Service</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> <li>S02/E01 50% O&amp;M excl Gas Purchases and A&amp;G / 50% throughput</li> </ul>
<b>Depreciation Expense</b> Underground Storage Distribution General Intangible	Underground Storage Distribution Common Distribution/Common	Commodity same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer same as related plant	Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts Allocations linked to related plant accounts
<b>Taxes</b> Property Tax Miscellaneous Dist Tax State Income Tax Federal Income Tax Deferred FIT ITC	All Distribution Revenue Conversion Revenue Conversion Revenue Conversion Revenue Conversion	Demand/Commodity/Customer from related plant Demand/Commodity/Customer from Dist Plant Revenue Revenue Revenue	<ul> <li>S14/S15/S16 Sum of UG Plant/Sum of Dist Plant/Sum of Gen Plant</li> <li>S15 Sum of Distribution Plant in Service</li> <li>R02 Net Income before Taxes less Interest Expense</li> </ul>
<b>Operating Revenues</b> Revenue from Rates Special Contract Revenue Off System Sales Miscellaneous Service Revenue Rent From Gas Property Other Gas Revenue	Revenue All Production Customer Relations All Underground Storage	Revenue Demand/Commodity/Customer from Rate Base Commodity from PGA Tracker Customer Demand/Commodity/Customer from Rate Base Commodity from Underground Storage Plant	Pro Forma Revenue per Revenue Study S01 Sum of Rate Base E04 Sales Therms C01 All customers (unweighted) S01 Sum of Rate Base S14 Sum of Underground Storage Plant in Service
			Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 4, p. 9 of 9

Sumcost Company Base Case AVU-G-04-01 Method

#### AVISTA UTILITIES Cost of Service General Summary For the Year Ended December 31, 2007

Natural Gas Utility Idaho Jurisdiction

24-Mar-08

	(b) (c	;) (d)	(e)	(f) System	(g) Residential Service	(h) Small Firm Service	(i) Large Firm Service	(j) Interrupt Service	(k) Transport Service
	Description			Total	Sch 101	Sch 111	Sch 121	Sch 131	Sch 146
•	Plant in Service								
1	Production Plant								
2	Underground Storage Plant			8,709,000	6,588,047	1,677,480	143,935	34,633	264,905
3	Distribution Plant			121,478,000	102,470,164	16,129,186	1,414,545	338,017	1,126,088
4	Intangible Plant			1,281,000	1,074,031	174,572	15,283	3,654	13,461
5	General Plant			10,990,000	9,206,370	1,503,186	131,562	31,458	117,424
6	Total Plant In Service			142,458,000	119,338,613	19,484,424	1,705,325	407,762	1,521,877
	Accum Depreciation								
7	Production Plant						(50.070)	(40,400)	(02.260)
8	Underground Storage Plant			(3,066,000)	(2,319,319)	(590,556)	(50,672)	(12,192)	(93,200)
9	Distribution Plant			(41,788,000)	(35,326,980)	(5,416,809)	(514,266)	(128,603)	(401,342)
10	Intangible Plant			(445,000)	(372,907)	(60,778)	(5,320)	(1,272)	(4,724)
11	General Plant			(3,644,000)	(3,052,595)	(498,418)	(43,623)	(10,431)	(30,935)
12	Total Accumulated Deprec	ciation		(48,943,000)	(41,071,800)	(6,566,561)	(613,880)	(152,498)	(538,200)
13	Net Plant			93,515,000	78,266,813	12,917,862	1,091,444	255,263	983,617
14	Accumulated Deferred FIT			(14,155,000)	(11,857,797)	(1,936,023)	(169,446)	(40,516)	(151,210)
15	Miscellaneous Rate Base			6,330,000	4,759,473	1,237,389	108,280	25,729	199,129
16	Total Rate Base			85,690,000	71,168,489	12,219,228	1,030,278	240,476	1,031,529
17	Revenue From Retail Rates			81,860,000	63,207,000	15,950,000	1,919,000	367,000	417,000
18	Other Operating Revenues			252,000	209,451	35,817	3,025	207 707	420,000
19	Total Revenues			82,112,000	63,416,451	15,985,817	1,922,025	307,707	420,000
	Operating Expenses			- / /	10 170 050	40 440 707	4 676 100	320 330	4 868
20	Purchased Gas Costs			61,321,000	46,178,952	13,140,727	1,070,123	520,550	5 293
21	Underground Storage Expe	enses		174,000	131,625	33,515	2,070	12 /26	56 015
22	Distribution Expenses			3,535,000	2,938,902	445,279	02,300	920	1 030
23	Customer Accounting Expe	enses		1,770,000	1,710,934	52,761	4,440	447	3 771
24	Customer Information Expe	enses		232,000	205,050	20,476	2,230	447	15
25	Sales Expenses			212,000	209,593	2,309	99 156	17 327	115 304
26	Admin & General Expense	S		4,440,000	3,549,883	009,330	1 956 255	352 065	186 295
27	Total O&M Expenses			71,684,000	54,924,938	14,304,447	1,000,200	332,000	100,200
28	Taxes Other Than Income	Taxes		702,000	584,294	98,612	8,615	2,061	8,417
29	Depreciation Expense	t Deer		152 000	114 083	29 277	2,512	604	4,623
30	Distribution Plant Depresio	top		2 618 000	2 250 371	308 465	31.864	5,173	22,128
31	Distribution Plant Deprecia	uon		2,010,000	572 152	93 419	8,176	1,955	7,298
32	General Plant Depreciation	ı Əlent		234,000	196 046	31,990	2,800	669	2,495
33	Amortization of Intangible I			2.54,000	3 133 551	463 151	45,352	8,402	36,543
34	Total Depr & Amon Exper	ise		1 572 000	1 178 319	328 573	(13,089)	(1,780)	79,977
30	Total Operating Evenence	-		77 645 000	59 821 103	15 254 783	1.897.133	360,748	311,233
36	I otal Operating Expenses	5		77,040,000	59,021,105	10,207,100	.,		400 700
37	Net Income			4,467,000	3,595,347	731,033	24,893	6,959	108,768
38	Rate of Return			5.21%	5.05%	5.98%	2.42%	2.89%	10.54%
39	Return Ratio			1.00	0.97	1.15	5 0.46	0.56	2.02
40	Interest Expense			3,051,000	2,636,146	336,763	37,765	6,307	34,020

 Sumcost
 AVISTA UTILITIES

 Company Base Case
 Summary by Function with Margin Analysis

 AVU-G-04-01 Method
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2007

Natural Gas Utility Idaho Jurisdiction

24-Mar-08

	(b) (c) (d) (e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
		.,	Residential	Small Firm	Large Firm	Interrupt	Transport
		System	Service	Service	Service	Service	Service
	Description	Total	Sch 101	Sch 111	Sch 121	Sch 131	Sch 146
	Functional Cost Components at Current Rates						4 004
1	Production	61,613,790	46,399,443	13,203,470	1,684,126	321,859	4,891
2	Underground Storage	1,189,584	848,332	257,529	8,445	2,472	72,805
3	Distribution	13,397,259	11,392,635	1,649,516	130,672	23,111	201,325
4	Common	5,659,368	4,566,590	839,485	95,757	19,558	137,979
5	Total Current Rate Revenue	81,860,000	63,207,000	15,950,000	1,919,000	367,000	417,000
6	Exclude Cost of Gas w / Revenue Exp.	61,210,875	46,099,857	13,118,219	1,673,252	319,547	0
7	Total Margin Revenue at Current Rates	20,649,125	17,107,143	2,831,781	245,748	47,453	417,000
	Margin per Therm at Current Rates						<b>**</b>
8	Production	\$0.005292	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.001326
9	Underground Storage	\$0.015624	\$0.015556	\$0.016596	\$0.004267	\$0.005872	\$0.019741
10	Distribution	\$0.175957	\$0.208912	\$0.106297	\$0.066018	\$0.054897	\$0.054590
11	Common	\$0.074329	\$0.083740	\$0.054098	\$0.048378	\$0.046457	\$0.037413
12	Total Current Margin Melded Rate per Theri	\$0.271202	\$0.313702	\$0.182484	\$0.124156	\$0.112720	\$0.113071
	Functional Cost Components at Uniform Curren	t Return					4 004
13	Production	61,613,790	46,399,443	13,203,470	1,684,126	321,859	4,891
14	Underground Storage	1,158,755	876,557	223,193	19,151	4,608	35,246
15	Distribution	13,426,260	11,588,246	1,499,465	176,286	31,853	130,410
16	Common	5,661,195	4,585,839	824,467	100,533	20,505	129,851
17	Total Uniform Current Cost	81,860,000	63,450,086	15,750,595	1,980,096	378,825	300,399
18	Exclude Cost of Gas w / Revenue Exp.	61,210,875	46,099,857	13,118,219	1,673,252	319,547	0
19	Total Uniform Current Margin	20,649,125	17,350,229	2,632,376	306,843	59,278	300,399
	Margin per Therm at Uniform Current Return						
20	Production	\$0.005292	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.001326
21	Underground Storage	\$0.015219	\$0.016074	\$0.014383	\$0.009675	\$0.010946	\$0.009557
22	Distribution	\$0.176338	\$0.212499	\$0.096627	\$0.089063	\$0.075663	\$0.035361
23	Common	\$0.074353	\$0.084093	\$0.053130	\$0.050791	\$0.048706	\$0.035209
24	Total Current Uniform Margin Melded Rate	\$0.271202	\$0.318159	\$0.169634	\$0.155022	\$0.140808	\$0.081454
25	Margin to Cost Ratio at Current Rates	1.00	0.99	1.08	0.80	0.80	1.39
	Functional Cost Components at Proposed Rates	5					
26	Production	61,613,466	46,399,199	14,887,518	0	321,858	4,891
27	Underground Storage	1,773,719	1,325,735	368,328	0	5,681	73,974
28	Distribution	17,166,253	14,701,257	2,225,219	0	36,246	203,532
29	Common	6,031,563	4,892,166	980,186	. 0	20,980	138,231
30	Total Proposed Rate Revenue	86,585,000	67,318,357	18,461,250	0	384,765	420,628
31	Exclude Cost of Gas w / Revenue Exp.	61,210,553	46,099,614	14,791,394	0	319,545	0
32	Total Margin Revenue at Proposed Rates	25,374,447	21,218,742	3,669,857	0	65,220	420,628
	Margin per Therm at Proposed Rates						
33	Production	\$0.005292	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.000000	\$0.005494	\$0.001326
34	Underground Storage	\$0.023296	\$0.024311	\$0.021051	\$0.000000	\$0.013495	\$0.020058
35	Distribution	\$0.225458	\$0.269584	\$0.127175	\$0.000000	\$0.086097	\$0.055188
36	Common	\$0.079217	\$0.089710	\$0.056019	\$0.000000	\$0.049837	\$0.037482
37	Total Proposed Margin Melded Rate per The	\$0.333263	\$0.389098	\$0.209738	\$0.000000	\$0.154922	\$0.114054
	Functional Cost Components at Uniform Propos	sed Return					
38	Production	61,613,466	46,399,199	14,887,518	0	321,858	4,891
39	Underground Storage	1,761,141	1,332,240	368,328	0	7,003	53,569
40	Distribution	17,178,223	14,746,343	2,225,219	0	41,656	165,005
41	Common	6,032,170	4,896,602	980,186	0	21,567	133,815
42	Total Uniform Proposed Cost	86,585,000	67,374,384	18,461,250	0	392,084	357,281
43	Exclude Cost of Gas w / Revenue Exp.	61,210,553	46,099,614	14,791,394	0	319,545	0
44	Total Uniform Proposed Margin	25,374,447	21,274,770	3,669,857	0	72,539	357,281
	-						
	Margin per Therm at Uniform Proposed Return						
45	Production	\$0.005292	\$0.005494	\$0.005494	\$0.000000	\$0.005494	\$0.001326
46	Underground Storage	\$0.023130	\$0.024430	\$0.021051	\$0.000000	\$0.016636	\$0.014525
47	Distribution	\$0.225615	\$0.270411	\$0.127175	\$0.000000	\$0.098950	\$0.044742
48	Common	\$0.079225	\$0.089791	\$0.056019	\$0.000000	\$0.051229	\$0.036284
49	Total Proposed Uniform Margin Melded Rat	\$0.333263	\$0.390126	\$0.209738	\$0.000000	\$0.172308	\$0.096878
50	Margin to Cost Ratio at Proposed Rates	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.90	1.18
51	Current Margin to Proposed Cost Ratio	0.81	0.80	0.84	0.00	0.65	1.17
							Exhibit !

Exhibit No. 14 Case No. AVU-G-08-01 T. Knox, Avista Schedule 5, p. 2 of 3

Sumcost AVISTA UTILITIES Company Base Case Summary by Classification with Unit Cost Analysis					Na	24-Mar-08			
	Company Base Case AVU-G-04-01 Method	cember 31, 2007	st Analysis	iu			2		
	(b)	(c) (d) (	(e)	(f)	(g) Residential	(h) Small Firm	(i) Large Firm	(j) Interrupt	(k) Transport
				System	Service	Service	Service	Service	Service
	Description			Total	Sch 101	Sch 111	Sch 121	Sch 131	Sch 146
	Cost by Classification at	Current Return	by Sche	dule					
1	Commodity			61,244,377	45,912,258	13,138,531	1,600,679	346,154	246,756
2	Demand			10,406,504	7,936,479	2,178,520	202,479	11,637	77,388
3	Customer			10,209,119	9,358,263	632,949	115,842	9,209	92,856
4	Total Current Rate Rev	venue		81,860,000	63,207,000	15,950,000	1,919,000	307,000	417,000
	Revenue per Therm at Cu	rrent Rates							
5	Commodity			\$0.804372	\$0.841915	\$0.846664	\$0.808690	\$0.822247	\$0.066908
6	Demand			\$0.136677	\$0.145535 \$0.171607	\$0.140387 \$0.040788	\$0.102290	\$0.021875	\$0.025178
8	Total Revenue per The	erm at Current Ra	ates	\$1.075133	\$1.159057	\$1.027839	\$0.969511	\$0.871765	\$0.113071
Ũ				•	• • • • • • • •				
•	Cost per Unit at Current R	lates		\$0 P04272	\$0 8/1015	\$0 846664	\$0 808690	\$0,822247	\$0.066908
9 10	Demand Cost per Ther	m av Therms		\$0.804372 \$18.80	\$18.69	\$21.99	\$18.44	\$5.63	\$4.59
11	Customer Cost per Custor	mer per Month		\$12.10	\$11.22	\$67.43	\$965.35	\$767.41	\$1,547.60
12	Cost by Classification at	t Uniform Curre	nt Returi	n 61 188 875	45 978 165	13.053.131	1.624.233	352,436	180,910
13	Demand			10,390,202	8,000,144	2,107,569	217,356	16,127	49,006
14	Customer			10,280,923	9,471,777	589,895	138,507	10,262	70,483
15	Total Uniform Current	Cost		81,860,000	63,450,086	15,750,595	1,980,096	378,825	300,399
	Cost per Therm at Curren	t Return							
16	Commodity			\$0.803643	\$0.843124	\$0.841161	\$0.820590	\$0.837171	\$0.049054
17	Demand			\$0.136463	\$0.146702	\$0.135815	\$0.109812	\$0.038307 \$0.024375	\$0.013288
18	Customer	at Current Return	. —	\$0.135028	\$0.173689	\$1.014989	\$1.000378	\$0.899854	\$0.081454
19	Total Cost per menna	at Current Return	•	ψ1.070100	\$1.100010	•	•	•	
	Cost per Unit at Uniform (	Current Return			<b>*</b> 0.040404	PO 044464	¢0 920500	\$0 837171	\$0 049054
20	Commodity Cost per Ther	rm Nov Thorme		\$0.803643 \$18.77	\$0.843124 \$18.84	\$0.841161	\$0.820590 \$19.80	\$0.837171	\$2.91
21	Customer Cost per Peak D	mer per Month		\$12.18	\$11.35	\$62.84	\$1,154.22	\$855.14	\$1,174.71
23	Revenue to Cost Ratio a	at Current Rates	;	1.00	1.00	1.01	0.97	0.97	1.39
	Cost by Classification a	t Proposed Retu	urn by So	chedule	47 000 000	14 097 770	0	355 592	248 804
24	Commodity			62,618,977 11,688,465	47,020,002 9.013.303	2.578.508	0	18,383	78,271
26	Customer			12,277,558	11,278,252	894,964	0	10,791	93,552
27	Total Proposed Rate F	Revenue		86,585,000	67,318,357	18,461,250	0	384,765	420,628
	Revenue per Therm at Pr	roposed Rates							
28	Commodity			\$0.822425	\$0.862353	\$0.856575	\$0.000000	\$0.844666	\$0.067464
29	Demand			\$0.153514	\$0.165281	\$0.147366	\$0.000000	\$0.043666	\$0.021223
30	Customer			\$0.161251	\$0.206815	\$0.051149	\$0.000000	\$0.025632	\$0.025367
31	Total Revenue per The	erm at Proposed	Rate	\$1.137190	\$1.234449	\$1.055089	\$0.000000	\$0.913904	φ0.114004
	Cost per Unit at Proposed	d Rates							
32	Commodity Cost per The	erm.		\$0.822425	\$0.862353	\$0.856575	\$0.000000	\$0.844666 \$2 90	\$0.067464 \$4.64
33	Demand Cost per Peak D	Day Therms		\$21.11 \$14.55	\$21.23 \$13.52	\$23.43 \$94.14	\$0.00	\$899.23	\$1,559.20
34	Customer Cost per Cusic	omer per wonun		\$14.00	ψ10.0z	<b>4</b> 04.14	40.00	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Cost by Classification a	at Uniform Prop	osed Ret	turn	17.044.000	44 007 770	0	250 480	213 032
35	Commodity			62,602,284	47,041,993	14,987,779	0	21.161	62.852
30	Customer			12.292.218	11.304.415	894,964	ŏ	11,442	81,397
38	Total Uniform Propose	ed Cost		86,585,000	67,374,384	18,461,250	0	392,084	357,281
39	Cost per merm at Propo Commodity	seu neturi		\$0.822206	\$0.862632	\$0.856575	\$0.000000	\$0.853903	\$0.057764
40	Demand			\$0.153541	\$0.165550	\$0.147366	\$0.000000	\$0.050266	\$0.017042
41	Customer			\$0.161444	\$0.207294	\$0.051149	\$0.000000	\$0.027180	\$0.022071
42	Total Cost per Therm	at Proposed Ret	um	\$1.137190	\$1.235476	\$1.055089	\$U.UUUUUU	AA.901949	WU.U90010
	Cost per Unit at Uniform	Proposed Return	٦					A	
43	Commodity Cost per The	erm		\$0.822206	\$0.862632	\$0.856575	\$0.000000	\$0.853903	\$0.057764 ¢3 73
44	Demand Cost per Peak I	Day Therms		\$21.12 \$14.57	\$21.26 \$13.55	\$23.43 \$94.14	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$953.53	ə3.73 \$1.356.62\$
40	Customer Cost per Cust			ψ14.07	ψ10.00	ψυτ. ι <b>τ</b>			4 4 4
46	Revenue to Cost Ratio	at Proposed Ra	tes	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.98	1.18
47	Current Revenue to Pro	oposed Cost Ra	tio	0.95	0.94	0.97	0.00	0.94	1.17 Exhibit N